

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addease COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1430 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.webjo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/560,468	11/07/2006	Hartmut Stenzel	2037.4	7417
Hammer & Ha	7590 04/11/200	8	EXAM	IINER
Suite G			VO, HAI	
3125 Springbank Lane Charlotte, NC 28226			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,1			1794	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/11/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Interview Summary		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/560,468	STENZEL ET AL.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Hai Vo	1794				
	All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):						
	(1) <u>Hai Vo</u> .	(3)					
	(2) <u>Scott E. Hanf</u> .	(4)					
	Date of Interview: 03 April 2008.						
	Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant 2	2) applicant's representative	e]				
	Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e) <u></u> No.					
	Claim(s) discussed: 1.						
	Identification of prior art discussed: <u>Tabakslat et al (US 6,051,618)</u> .						
	Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.						
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant's representative contends that the calculation of the concentration of the surfactant is misplaced. The examiner disagrees with Mr. Hanf because his arguments are not commensurate in scope with the claim. Nothing in the claim requires the carrier that includes both particles and water. There is no agreement on the issue.							
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)							
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS. FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.							
		/Hai Vo/ Hai Vo					
		Primary Examiner, Art Unit 17					
	Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an	Examiner's signature, if requi	rea				